## Attitudes of medical genetics practitioners and psychiatrists toward communicating with patients about genetic risk for psychiatric disorders

Yi Zhou Zhou<sup>a</sup>, Alex Wilde<sup>b,e</sup>, Bettina Meiser<sup>c</sup>, Philip B. Mitchell<sup>b,e,f</sup>, Kristine Barlow-Stewart<sup>g,h</sup> and Peter R. Schofield<sup>d,f,i</sup>

**Objectives** The aim of this study was to examine the self-rated competencies and perceived roles of medical geneticists, genetic counselors, and psychiatrists in the communication of genetic risk for psychiatric disorders to patients and families at an increased risk for schizophrenia, bipolar disorder or major depressive disorder, and their perspectives on training needs in this field.

Materials and methods Clinically active members of the Human Genetics Society of Australasia (HGSA) and the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists (RANZCP) were invited to complete the online survey. A total of 157 responses were included in data analysis: 17 medical geneticists, 36 genetics counselors, and 104 psychiatrists.

**Results** In all, 34.4% of the respondents disagreed that their professional training had prepared them to discuss genetic information about psychiatric illnesses with patients. Medical geneticists perceived significantly higher levels of self-rated competency to discuss with patients and families genetic information on psychiatric disorders compared with genetic counselors and psychiatrists (t=-0.61, P=0.001;  $\beta=0.33$ , 95% confidence interval 0.16-0.49, P<0.001).

#### Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD), schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder are among the leading causes of disability globally (World Health Organization, 2008). These conditions have both genetic and environmental components. Heritability estimates are reported to be 85% for bipolar disorder, 81% for schizophrenia, and 37% for MDD (Bienvenu et al., 2011). Accumulating evidence indicates that the mode of inheritance is most likely complex, with multiple genes of small effect interacting with environmental factors (Stefansson et al., 2009), although rare structural variants are responsible for a yet-to-be-determined minority of cases for some mental illnesses (International Schizophrenia Consortium, 2008). Some of the specific small effect genes are now being identified by major international genome-wide association studies consortia (Ripke et al., 2011; Sklar et al., 2011).

**Conclusion** Findings suggest deficiencies in education and training programs on how to best communicate psychiatric genetic risk information to patients, suggesting that specialist programs are needed to better support health professionals. As self-rated competencies differed among the professional groups, training programs need to be tailored to participants' professional backgrounds. *Psychiatr Genet* 24:94–101 © 2014 Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

#### Psychiatric Genetics 2014, 24:94-101

Keywords: genetic counseling, genetic risk information, genetics training, psychiatric disorders

<sup>a</sup>School of Medicine, <sup>b</sup>School of Psychiatry, <sup>c</sup>Prince of Wales Clinical School, <sup>d</sup>School of Medical Sciences, University of New South Wales, <sup>e</sup>Black Dog Institute, <sup>f</sup>Brain Sciences UNSW, Sydney, <sup>g</sup>Centre for Genetics Education, Royal North Shore Hospital, <sup>h</sup>Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney and <sup>h</sup>Neuroscience Research Australia, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Correspondence to Alex Wilde, PhD, School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales, Black Dog Institute Building, Hospital Road, Prince of Wales Hospital, Randwick, Sydney, NSW 2031, Australia Tel: +61 2 9382 9249; fax: +61 2 9382 8151; e-mail: alex.wilde@unsw.edu.au

Received 26 February 2013 Revised 28 November 2013 Accepted 28 January 2014

Genetic testing for psychiatric illnesses is currently unavailable in clinical settings, but several commercial companies are presently marketing several genetic tests direct-to-consumer (DTC) through the Internet that purport to predict susceptibility to MDD, schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, and psychotropic medication response (Mitchell et al., 2010). Individuals at an increased genetic risk of developing these disorders and relatives of affected individuals have reported interest in genetic testing, despite the lack of evidence of a role played by genetic testing in therapeutic decision-making (Mitchell et al., 2010). Preliminary data suggest that individuals have shown a preference for undertaking testing for genetic susceptibility to MDD, if it were available, by 'trusted health professionals' (Wilde et al., 2010). For psychiatric illnesses, such health professionals would most likely include psychiatrists or genetics professionals. Austin et al. (2006) anticipated that the implications of DTC genetic testing for psychiatric disorders would justify making psychiatric genetic counseling routinely available. In consideration of this, health professionals must be ready to respond to

DOI: 10.1097/YPG.0000000000000030

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's website (*www.psychgenetics.com*).

<sup>0955-8829 © 2014</sup> Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

potentially strong interest in psychiatric genetic counseling among patients, relatives, and the public.

Several studies have investigated the attitudes of psychiatrists (Peay and Mcinnerney, 2002; Finn et al., 2005; Hoop et al., 2008b) and genetic counselors (Monaco et al., 2010; Martin et al., 2012) toward discussing genetic information related to psychiatric disorders. Psychiatrists' attitudes have been reported to be generally positive toward clinical uses of genetic testing for psychiatric illnesses (Hoop et al., 2008a). However, several areas of knowledge deficits have been described (Finn et al., 2005). A disparity between perceived responsibility and actual competency in managing genetic testing for psychiatric illnesses has also been reported for psychiatrists (Finn et al., 2005; Hoop et al., 2008b). These are particularly urgent issues, especially in light of the increased accessibility of commercial DTC genetic testing. Insufficient knowledge and competency may hinder the ability of psychiatrists, medical geneticists, and genetic counselors to provide adequate and appropriate genetic counseling.

Data are needed to inform the development of future education and training programs to improve expert counseling and risk communication for individuals at increased genetic risk for psychiatric disorders. The current study is the first to investigate the perceived roles and competencies of medical geneticists, genetic counselors, and psychiatrists in psychiatric genetic risk communication, as well as their preferences for further training in this field. Medical geneticists are generally physicians with specialist training in medical genetics, whereas genetic counselors are typically trained in genetic counseling at the masters degree level (Lobb et al., 2001). This study aimed to ascertain potential differences in perceived roles in the psychiatric genetic counseling setting by testing the following hypotheses. First, there will be differences between medical geneticists, genetic counselors, and psychiatrists in terms of their levels of self-rated competency in providing genetic risk communication and the extent to which they perceive psychiatric genetic counseling as pertaining to their roles. Second, the period of time since most recent professional genetics training will be associated with differences in levels of selfrated competency and perceptions that psychiatric genetic counseling pertains to one's role. Finally, health professionals' endorsement of a genetic component in psychiatric illnesses will be associated with perceptions that increased public knowledge of genetics in mental illness would have a psychosocial impact.

#### Materials and methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted carried out through a web-based survey hosted by the University of New South Wales KeySurvey program. The study was approved by the Medical and Community Human Research Ethics Advisory Panel, University of New South Wales.

#### Sample

A group e-mail was sent to all members of the Human Genetics Society of Australasia (HGSA) and the NSW state branch (the largest branch) of the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists (RANZCP). Individuals were invited to follow the link posted in the e-mail to consent and commence the survey. An advertisement was also placed in the fortnightly electronic newsletter of the RANZCP. Inclusion criteria were working at least part-time in a clinical setting and past or current training toward qualifications in genetics or psychiatry. Eligible individuals who completed the survey had the option of receiving a book about psychiatric genetic counseling (Peay and Austin, 2011). For the purpose of this report, the term 'health professional' refers to psychiatrists, medical geneticists, and genetic counselors.

#### Measures

A copy of the survey items is included in Supplementary Table 1 (Supplemental digital content 1; *http://links.lww. com/PG/A95*). In the introductory part of the survey, the term 'psychiatric illness' was defined as referring to MDD, schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder. Items within scales and subsections were randomized to minimize possible ordering effects. The survey instrument included both items used in previous related surveys by Finn *et al.* (2005) and Hoop *et al.* (2008a, 2008b) as well as purposively developed items.

#### Demographics

Data on sex, age group, professional background, field of practice, period since last professional genetics training, and location of training (if outside of Australia) were collected.

## Beliefs about heritable components of psychiatric and nonpsychiatric illnesses

One item asked respondents to rate their perceptions of the degree of influence that genetics had on an individual's general mental health from 'none at all' to 'strong influence'. Seven 4-point Likert-type items were used to rate participants' perceptions of the degree of risk attributable to genetics for Huntington disease, cardiovascular disease, breast cancer, colon cancer, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, and depression, with response options ranging from 'none' to 'strong'.

# Perceived competency of psychiatric genetic risk communication

Four 5-point Likert-type items were used to assess the level of agreement with five statements (Fig. 1) relating to competencies in a range of aspects of psychiatric genetic counseling for these disorders. Cronbach's  $\alpha$  for the five items was 0.72, and a summary mean score was calculated for analysis with possible values ranging from 1 to 5, with larger values indicating greater perceived competency.

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

## Perceived role in psychiatric genetics risk communication

One item asked respondents to rate their level of agreement with the belief that it was their role to discuss genetics information on psychiatric disorders with patients and families; response options ranged from 'strongly disagree' to 'strongly agree'. Six items presented hypothetical clinical scenarios of psychiatric disorders and required respondents to consider whether they would address the issue themselves or whether they would refer to a colleague if they knew a medical geneticist, genetic counselor, or psychiatrist who could provide expert genetic counseling to patients at an increased genetic risk (Fig. 2). Cronbach's  $\alpha$  for the six items was 0.48; a summary score calculated for analysis had possible values of 0-6. One item also assessed whether respondents were aware of another professional (including a medical geneticist, genetic counselor, or psychiatrist) who provided expert genetic counseling to patients at an increased genetic risk for psychiatric disorders in the geographic area in which they worked (yes or no).

# Perceived importance of different components of psychiatric genetics risk communication

One item assessed whether respondents routinely gathered information on family histories of psychiatric disorders during the evaluation of patients, with response options ranging from 'strongly disagree' to 'strongly agree'. In addition, six 5-point Likert-type items were used to rate the importance of six components of expert genetic counseling from 'not at all important' to 'very important'.

#### Training and education needs

Participants were asked to rank seven components of genetic counseling from least important (rank order = 1) to most important (rank order = 7) to be addressed in training programs. Five formats in which additional training materials may be presented were provided for ranking from least useful (rank order = 1) to most useful (rank order = 5) when used in training programs (shown in Supplementary Table 2, Supplemental digital content 2; *http://links.lww.com/PG/A96*).

#### Perceived impact of genetics knowledge

Eleven 4-point Likert-type items assessed respondents' perspectives on the impact of genetic knowledge on a range of psychosocial and clinical factors (Supplementary Table 3, Supplemental digital content 3; *http://links.lww.com/PG/A97*) from 'no influence' (1) to 'strong influence' (4). The seven items that measured positive views yielded a Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.76; a summary score was calculated for analysis (range 7–28). The four items that addressed negative views yielded a Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.64 and a summary score consisting of possible values from 4 to 16.

#### Data analysis

Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 18 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Non-normally distributed continuous outcome variables were recoded into binary variables using a median split. To compare attitudes between medical geneticists, genetic counselors, and psychiatrists, multivariable analyses were carried out, while entering potentially confounding variables (age, sex) as covariates. Multiple linear regression was used for normally distributed outcome variables and logistic regression for the recoded binary outcome variables.

#### Results

#### **Respondent demographics**

Of the 157 individuals who were included for analysis, 17 were medical geneticists, 36 were genetic counselors, and 104 were psychiatrists. Table 1 shows the participants' sociodemographic characteristics and professional backgrounds.

# Beliefs about the heritable components of psychiatric and nonpsychiatric illnesses

Most medical geneticists, genetic counselors, and psychiatrists believed that genetics or heredity had a moderate to strong influence on an individual's mental health (94.1, 86.1, and 96.2%, respectively). The majority of health professionals believed that Huntington disease had a strong genetic component [mean (SD) = 4.0 (0.2)]. The majority also attributed a moderate genetic component to cardiovascular disease [mean (SD) = 3.0 (0.5)], breast cancer [mean (SD) = 3.1 (0.6)], colon cancer [mean (SD) = 3.0 (0.7)], bipolar disorder [mean (SD) = 3.2 (0.6)], schizophrenia [mean (SD) = 3.1 (0.6)], and MDD [mean (SD) = 2.9 (0.6)].

# Health professionals' self-rated competency to communicate with patients about genetic risk for psychiatric disorders

Fifty-two percent (52.2%) of the respondents agreed or strongly agreed to feeling competent to discuss genetic information with patients and their families [mean (SD) = 3.3 (0.9)]. Figure 1 shows health professionals' rating of their perceived competency to deliver different aspects of psychiatric genetic counseling for MDD, schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder. Thirty-four percent (34.4%) of respondents disagreed or strongly disagreed that their professional training had prepared them to discuss genetic information with patients and their families. In multivariable analyses, medical geneticists (mean competency score = 3.8) were found to rate their perceived competency of psychiatric genetics risk communication at a significantly higher level than genetic counselors (mean competency score = 3.3) and psychiatrists (mean competency score = 3.2), when simultaneously controlling for age (P = 0.39) and sex (P = 0.007) $[\beta = 0.33, 95\%$  confidence interval (CI) 0.16-0.49, P < 0.001]. The rating of perceived competency in genetic communication about psychiatric disorders was not significantly associated with the time passed since



Percentage of medical geneticists, genetic counselors, and psychiatrists who agreed or strongly agreed with statements relating to self-rated competency of psychiatric genetic risk communication for major depressive disorder, schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder (N=157).

most recent training in genetics (r = -0.13; P = 0.11) for the three groups combined.

## Perceived roles in the communication of genetic risk for psychiatric disorders

Most respondents (91.1%) agreed or strongly agreed that they perceived that it was their role to discuss genetic information on psychiatric illness with patients and their families [mean (SD) = 4.17 (0.80)]. In multivariable analyses, compared with medical geneticists, there was a strong trend for psychiatrists to believe that it was their role to discuss genetics information with patients and families (odds ratio 0.32, 95% CI 0.104–1.000, P = 0.05). Similarly, genetic counselors were significantly less likely to believe that it was their role to address genetic information for such patients (odds ratio 0.16, 95% CI 0.04–0.62, P = 0.008) compared with medical geneticists. The period since most recent training in psychiatric genetics and perceived role in genetic communication in psychiatric illness were not significantly associated (r = -0.72; P = 0.37) across the three health professional groups combined.

Figure 2 shows the number of psychiatrists, medical geneticists, and genetic counselors who would personally address issues raised in a range of hypothetical scenarios as opposed to referring to a colleague. Only 26.8% of respondents were aware of another health professional (including a medical geneticist, genetic counselor, or psychiatrist) in the geographic area in which they worked who provided expert genetic counseling to patients at an increased genetic risk for a psychiatric disorder.

# Perceived importance of different components of communicating genetic risk for psychiatric disorders

More than half (77.7%) of all respondents agreed or strongly agreed that they routinely assessed detailed family histories of psychiatric illness during the evaluation of their patients.

Copyright C Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.



Percentage of medical geneticists, genetic counselors, and psychiatrists who would personally address issues raised in some hypothetical clinical scenarios (*N*=157).

All respondents reported that assessment of detailed family history was important or very important. The majority also believed that identifying patient beliefs (96.2%) and conveying genetic risk information (96.8%) was important or very important. A large proportion (90.4%) believed that it was important or very important to convey recurrence risk information to such patients. Participants considered that emphasizing to patients the role of both genetic factors (82.8%) and environmental factors (94.9%) in the development of psychiatric disorders was important or very important.

#### Training and education needs

Table 1 shows that 64.7% of medical geneticists and 55.6% of genetic counselors reported not having received any training in psychiatric genetics compared with 22.1%

of psychiatrists. The three target health professional groups ranked the mean importance of seven components of genetic counseling to be potentially addressed in training programs. The most highly ranked skill was 'exploring patient concerns' [mean (SD) = 5.1 (2.0)], followed by 'effectively communicating genetic information to patients' [mean (SD) = 4.6 (1.6)]; 'taking a detailed family history' [mean (SD) = 4.6 (2.1)]; 'providing advice to assist in patient decision-making processes' [mean (SD) = 4.1 (1.9)]; 'effectively presenting risk values to patients' [mean (SD) = 3.7 (1.6)]; 'calculating risk information for an asymptomatic person with a family history of psychiatric disorder' [mean (SD) = 3.0 (1.0)]; and 'calculating recurrence risk values from patient history' [mean (SD) = 2.96 (1.73)].

| Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the participating clinical geneticists, genetic counselors, and psychia | niatrists (N= | 157) |
|--|---------------|------|
|--|---------------|------|

|  | Clinical geneticists (N=17) [N (%)] | Genetic counselors (N=36) [N (%)] | Psychiatrists (N=104) [N (%)] |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Sex  |                                     |                                   |                               |
| Male   | 6 (35.3)                            | 4 (11.1)                          | 54 (51.9)                     |
| Female   | 11 (64.7)                           | 32 (88.9)                         | 50 (48.1)                     |
| Where do you spend the majority of your profes | ssional time?                       |                                   |                               |
| Public hospital (general and/or psychiatric)   | 14 (82.4)                           | 31 (86.1)                         | 57 (54.8)                     |
| Community clinic                               | 1 (5.9)                             | 3 (8.3)                           | 20 (19.2)                     |
| Academic setting                               | 1 (5.9)                             | 0 (0)                             | 1 (1.0)                       |
| Private practice                               | 1 (5.9)                             | 0 (0)                             | 21 (20.2)                     |
| Other  | 0 (0)                               | 2 (5.6)                           | 5 (4.8)                       |
| How long ago was your most recent training in  | psychiatric genetics?               |                                   |                               |
| Within the last 2 years                        | 1 (5.9)                             | 5 (13.9)                          | 28 (26.9)                     |
| 3-5 years ago                                  | 1 (5.9)                             | 5 (13.9)                          | 13 (12.5)                     |
| 6-10 years ago                                 | 2 (11.8)                            | 4 (11.1)                          | 16 (15.4)                     |
| 11-20 years ago                                | 2 (11.8)                            | 2 (5.6)                           | 11 (10.6)                     |
| >20 years ago                                  | 0 (0)                               | 0 (0)                             | 12 (11.5)                     |
| No psychiatric genetics training               | 11 (64.7)                           | 20 (55.6)                         | 23 (22.1)                     |
| No genetics training                           | 0 (0)                               | 0 (0)                             | 1 (1.0)                       |

Supplementary Table 2 shows the mean rankings that respondents assigned in terms of the usefulness of five formats in which further training may be provided. 'Face-to-face workshop groups' was ranked as most useful (mean ranking 3.7, SD = 1.3) whereas 'paper-based materials' were ranked as least useful (mean ranking 2.4, SD = 1.3).

#### Health professionals' perceptions about psychosocial and clinical impact of increased genetics knowledge among the public

The highest-ranked positive and negative psychosocial or clinical impacts ('moderate influence' or 'strong influence') of increased public knowledge of the genetics of psychiatric disorders were the 'discovery of new and better treatments' (75.0%) and 'potential denial of insurance to patients with high-risk genes for psychiatric illness' (68.1%), respectively (Supplementary Table 3). Health professionals' endorsement of a genetic model for psychiatric disorders was not significantly associated with perceptions that greater knowledge of genetics among the public would have a positive impact (r = 0.83; P = 0.30) or a negative impact (r = 0.07; P = 0.38).

#### Discussion

Over 90% of participants in this study agreed or strongly agreed that it was their role to discuss genetics information about psychiatric illness with patients and their families. These findings are comparable to those from a similarly sized sample of US psychiatrists, where 83% reported perceiving it as their role to communicate genetic information about psychiatric illnesses (Finn *et al.*, 2005). In another US study, 78% of psychiatrists considered themselves to be the most appropriate professional to counsel psychiatric patients about genetics in psychiatric illnesses (Hoop *et al.*, 2008b). Few psychiatrists in the US study believed that it was the role of a genetic counselor or a medical geneticist (13 and 7%, respectively). In the present study, medical geneticists were more likely to perceive it to be their role to discuss genetic information

on psychiatric disorders when compared with psychiatrists and genetic counselors. This may be because of the multidisciplinary approach adopted by genetics services in Australia. For example, a study that focused on specialized familial clinics for breast cancer in Australia suggested that genetic counselors often facilitate the majority of counseling sessions, including gathering medical and family histories (Lobb *et al.*, 2001). The study found that medical geneticists seem to play a more specialized role in providing risk assessments or answering specific questions (Lobb *et al.*, 2001). Given the perceived differences in clinical roles among groups of health professionals, future training programs need to address communication skills and teamwork models that allow a multidisciplinary team approach to genetic counseling for psychiatric illnesses.

Thirty-four percent of participants in the present study disagreed that their professional training had prepared them to discuss genetics information on psychiatric illness with patients and their families. This is comparable to the figures reported by Peay and Mcinnerney (2002), where 32% of 41 practicing genetic counselors felt 'very unprepared' or 'somewhat unprepared' to raise the issue of psychiatric illness with patients and 44% felt similarly unprepared to answer patients' questions about psychiatric disease. Similarly, only 23% of psychiatrists surveyed in a US study reported themselves as competent to communicate genetic information about psychiatric illnesses (Finn *et al.*, 2005). In the present study, however, medical geneticists showed a significantly higher level of self-rated competency than both psychiatrists and genetic counselors.

The results of the present study further underscore previous findings (Finn *et al.*, 2005) that there are perceived deficits among health professionals in conveying genetics information about psychiatric illnesses to patients. The findings reported here reflect a disparity between perceived role and perceived competency among the three target health professional groups. This perceived deficiency should be addressed by future training programs.

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

The study also found that the proportion of health professionals who would personally address psychiatric genetics issues as opposed to referring to a colleague with expertise varied widely depending on the particular hypothetical scenario being considered. In the scenario of counseling a pregnant couple with a family history of psychiatric illnesses, who are considering terminating the pregnancy, more than 80% of the three health professional groups would refer to a colleague. However, over 70% of respondents were not aware of another professional within their geographic area who could provide expert genetic counseling for psychiatric disorders. The difference between health professionals' willingness to refer patients and awareness of colleagues with suitable expertise to refer such patients indicates deficiences in current professional networks. If there were a demand for genetics services in psychiatry stimulated by increased accessibility to genetic testing, such a demand would not be met by the current health system.

Sixty-five percent of clinical geneticists and 56% of genetic counselors reported not having received any training in psychiatric genetics, compared with 22% of psychiatrists, suggesting that training of genetics practitioners has the greatest unmet training needs. Specialist training will assist health professionals to determine appropriate and efficacious methods for the communication of genetics information about psychiatric disorders to patients, while also providing the basis for the development of a nondirective, patient-oriented approach to risk communication.

In terms of the preferred format of future training programs, face-to-face workshop groups with other health professionals and experts and talks or seminars with experts were perceived as most useful. This is possibly because of these training formats being able to provide a more experiential learning environment that is able to directly address participants' needs, as suggested by a previous study that examined the effectiveness of professional development workshops for genetic counselors in oncology (Dunlop *et al.*, 2011).

There was no significant association between health professionals' endorsement of a genetic component in psychiatric illness and their perception that greater public knowledge of genetics in psychiatric illnesses would have a positive or a negative impact. This suggests that health professionals may view genetic research into psychiatric disorders as relatively benign in terms of public impact at this stage. This finding is comparable to the perspectives of a group of genetics researchers in another study who believed that expectations of the impact of genetics research on clinical practice were unrealistic, given the limited evidence available on the genetics of psychiatric illnesses (Erickson and Cho, 2011).

The limitations of the present study include the opt-in nature of the survey, which may have generated participation bias. This could not be evaluated as details on individuals who did not participate were not available. Health professionals' rating of competence in communicating about genetic risk for psychiatric disorders to their patients was highly subjective. Future studies are needed to investigate actual, objectively rated competence by documenting the process and content of communication about psychiatric genetic risk using rigorously designed studies of communication behaviors (Meiser *et al.*, 2008). This study reflects the views of a small group of health professionals in Australia. Perceived roles, competencies, and training deficits in psychiatric genetics may differ elsewhere and should be considered on a region-by-region basis.

The findings provide a starting position from which to guide the development of future training and education courses in psychiatric genetics for health professionals charged with patient care in this field. The study has shown major deficiencies in the training of health professionals, who will be increasingly called upon to provide familial risk information and counseling to susceptible patients and their families about psychiatric disorders. Such deficits will become increasingly critical as family history is used as a surrogate for genetic markers. The complexity of family history - the contribution of genetic and family environment components to the development of psychiatric disorders - will need to be understood by health professionals and communicated clearly to their patients. This is especially important as more individuals access DTC genetic testing that requires professional advice and guidance.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the health professionals who completed the survey. The authors also thank Dr Michelle Peate for her guidance in using the KeySurvey program. A/Prof Bettina Meiser was supported through Career Development Awards (ID 350989 and ID 1003921) from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC). Professor Philip B. Mitchell's research is supported by an NHMRC Program Grant (510135).

#### **Conflicts of interest**

P.B. Mitchell and P.R. Schofield are Editorial board members of Psychiatric Genetics. For the remaining authors there are no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Austin JC, Smith GN, Honer WG (2006). The genomic era and perceptions of psychotic disorders: genetic risk estimation, associations with reproductive decisions and views about predictive testing. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 141:926–928.
- Bienvenu OJ, Davydow DS, Kendler KS (2011). Psychiatric 'diseases' versus behavioral disorders and degree of genetic influence. *Psychol Med* **41**:33–40.
- Dunlop K, Barlow-Stewart K, Butow P, Heinrich P (2011). A model of professional development for practicing genetic counselors: adaptation of communication skills training in oncology. J Genet Couns 20:217–230.

- Erickson JA, Cho MK (2011). Ethical considerations and risks in psychiatric genetics: preliminary findings of a study on psychiatric genetic researchers. *AJOB Prim Res* 2:52–60.
- Finn CT, Wilcox M, Korf B, Blacker D, Racette S, Sklar P, et al. (2005). Psychiatric genetics: a survey of psychiatrists' knowledge, opinions, and practice patterns. J Clin Psychiatry 66:821–830.
- Hoop JG, Roberts LW, Green Hammond KA, Cox NJ (2008a). Psychiatrists' attitudes regarding genetic testing and patient safeguards: a preliminary study. *Genet Test* 12:245–252.
- Hoop JG, Roberts LW, Green Hammond KA, Cox NJ (2008b). Psychiatrists' attitudes, knowledge, and experience regarding genetics: a preliminary study. *Genet Med* 10:439–449.
- International Schizophrenia Consortium (2008). Rare chromosomal deletions and duplications increase risk of schizophrenia. *Nature* **455**:237–241.
- Lobb E, Butow P, Meiser B, Tucker K, Barratt A (2001). How do geneticists and genetic counselors counsel women from high-risk breast cancer families? *J Genet Couns* 10:185–199.
- Martin N, Mikhaelian M, Cytrynbaum C, Shuman C, Chitayat D, Weksberg R, et al. (2012). 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: attitudes towards disclosing the risk of psychiatric illness. J Genet Couns 21:825–834.
- Meiser B, Irle J, Lobb E, Barlow-Stewart K (2008). Assessment of the content and process of genetic counseling: a critical review of empirical studies. *J Genet Couns* 17:434–451.

- Mitchell PB, Meiser B, Wilde A, Fullerton J, Donald J, Wilhelm K, et al. (2010). Predictive and diagnostic genetic testing in psychiatry. *Psychiatr Clin North* Am 33:225–243.
- Monaco LC, Conway L, Valverde K, Austin JC (2010). Exploring genetic counselors' perceptions of and attitudes towards schizophrenia. *Public Health Genomics* 13:21–26.
- Peay H, Austin J (2011). *How to talk with families about genetics and psychiatric illness*. New York: W.W. Norton Company Inc.
- Peay H, Mcinnerney J (2002). A pilot study on psychiatric genetic counseling: counselor's needs. J Genet Couns 11:485.
- Ripke S, Sanders AR, Kendler KS, Levinson DF, Sklar P, Holmans PA, et al. (2011). Genome-wide association study identifies five new schizophrenia loci. Nat Genet 43:969–976.
- Sklar P, Ripke S, Scott LJ, Andreassen OA, Cichon S, Craddock N, et al. (2011). Large-scale genome-wide association analysis of bipolar disorder identifies a new susceptibility locus near ODZ4. Nat Genet 43:977–983.
- Stefansson H, Ophoff RA, Steinberg S, Andreassen OA, Cichon S, Rujescu D, et al. (2009). Common variants conferring risk of schizophrenia. Nature 460:744–747.
- Wilde A, Meiser B, Mitchell PB, Schofield PR (2010). Public interest in predictive genetic testing, including direct-to-consumer testing, for susceptibility to major depression: preliminary findings. *Eur J Med Genet* 18:47–51.
- World Health Organization (2008). *The global burden of disease: 2004 update.* Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.